

Message

From: Charmley, William [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=FB1828FB00AF42FFB68B9E0A71626D95-CHARMLEY, WILLIAM]
Sent: 12/19/2016 11:18:26 AM
To: Grundler, Christopher [grundler.christopher@epa.gov]; Hengst, Benjamin [Hengst.Benjamin@epa.gov]
CC: Sutton, Tia [sutton.tia@epa.gov]; Wysor, Tad [wysor.tad@epa.gov]; Silverman, Steven [silverman.steven@epa.gov]; Moran, Robin [moran.robin@epa.gov]; Olechiw, Michael [olechiw.michael@epa.gov]
Subject: Status of EPA and NHTSA responses to the September Congressional CAFE hearing QFRs
Attachments: QFRs EPA + NHTSA Responses 12-12-16_redline.docx; QFRs EPA + NHTSA Responses 12-12-16_clean.docx

Dear Chris –

Here is the time line as best I can recreate regarding the September Congressional CAFE hearing QFR responses from NHTSA and EPA:

1. **November 8 or 9:** EPA staff draft responses to the EPA QFRs sent to NHTSA
2. **November 10:** NHTSA provides a few minor comments on EPA draft QFR responses
3. **November 14:** NHTSA provides draft response to NHTSA QFRs (via Paul H. email) with the following email text from Paul H.

“Attached are NHTSA’s draft responses. As Janet and I discussed, the two agencies should work to meld their two drafts into a single set of answers”

4. **December 12:** EPA sends a 1st draft of a consolidated response to the NHTSA and EPA QFRs
5. **December 16:** NHTSA sends EPA for the 2nd time the set of NHTSA QFR responses (previously provided on November 14, with the following note:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

I have noted the December 12 EPA information because on that day we sent to NHTSA a first attempt at a consolidated response – that response is attached, both a redline version and a clean version. In this draft for a single agency response we did the following:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Thanks
Bill